

UNITED THES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
8/820,057	03/18/97	TURNER		C 10	9026-0038	
_		LMC1/1122	コ	EXAMINER		
TEVEN J. FRANK		LMC1/1122		LEWIS,D		
ESARI AND MCKENNA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
0 ROWES WHARF OSTON MA 02110				2778	įL	
				DATE MAILED:	1/22/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/820,057

Applicant(s)

Turner et al.

Examiner

David L Lewis

Group Art Unit 2778



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 3, 1999	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay#935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within tapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may 37 CFR 1.136(a).	the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s) <u>35-45</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claims	_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-S The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	e Examiner. approved
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 4-8, 12 Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	2
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWIN	NG PAGES

Art Unit: 2778

Applicant: Jacobson et al.

Title: Printable Electronic Display

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazan (5220316) in view of Pearlman et al. (5216530).
- 3. As in claim 1, Kazan teaches of a electronic display, column 5 lines 42-60, comprising: a first set of electrodes, figure 4 item 15; a second set of electrodes disposed in an intersecting pattern with respect to the first set of electrodes, the first and second sets of electrodes not contacting one another, figure 4 item 17; a particle based, nonemissive display, column 6 lines 9-10; and a plurality of nonlinear elements, the display and the nonlinear elements being disposed between the first and second sets of electrodes so as to electrically couple at least some electrodes of the first set with corresponding electrodes of the second set at regions of intersection, column 3 lines 42-45, wherein said electrodes and nonlinear elements are silk screen printed onto a polymer sheet in which are encapsulated tiny liquid crystal elements. While said electronic display can broadly be interpreted

Examiner: David L. Lewis November 18, 1999

Art Unit: 2778

Applicant: Jacobson et al.

Title: Printable Electronic Display

as a printable display by virtue of said printable electrodes and nonlinear devices, Kazan is

however silent as to the encapsulated liquid crystals being printable to a substrate as well known in

the art. Pearlman et al. teaches of encapsulated liquid crystals being printable to a substrate as well

known in the art, by means such as silk screening, column 10 lines 22-32. The silk screen deposition

method simplifies the fabrication process, reducing its cost as well known. Therefore it would have

been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to construct a nonlinear resistor

control circuit and use in a liquid crystal display as taught by Kazan, with a printable liquid crystal

display as taught by Pearlman et al. to reduce the fabrication costs as well known and further

suggested by Kazan.

4. As in claim 2, Kazan teaches wherein the nonemissive display is an electrophoretic display, column

6 lines 44-60. As in claim 3, Kazan wherein nonemissive display is a rotating ball display wherein

column 6 lines 9-10, wherein said microcapsuled displays are known to be of the rotating ball type.

As in claim 4, Kazan teaches wherein the nonemissive display is an electrostatic display, column 6

lines 44-60, wherein electrostatic broadly reads on any microencapsulated electrophoretic display.

As in claim 5, Kazan teaches of a thin, flexible substrate, column 2 lines 55-59, wherein thin plastic

is flexible. As in claim 6 Kazan teaches wherein the first set being orthogonal to the electrodes of

the second set, figure 4. As in claim 7 Kazan teaches wherein the electrophoretic display material

and the nonlinear elements are arranged I planar form and sandwiched between the first and second

Examiner: David L. Lewis November 18, 1999

Art Unit: 2778

Applicant: Jacobson et al.

Title: Printable Electronic Display

sets of electrodes, column 5 lines 43-68. As in claim 8 Kazan teaches wherein the electrophoretic

display comprises a plurality of discrete, microencapsulated electrophoretic display elements, column

3 lines 1-10. As in claim 10 Kazan teaches wherein the first and second sets of electrodes are

printable, at least one of the sets of electrodes being visually transparent, column 5 lines 43-68. As

in claim 11 Kazan teaches wherein the nonlinear elements are printable, column 5 lines 43-68. As

in claim 13 Kazan teaches wherein the nonlinear elements are a print deposited ink exhibiting a

nonlinear electrical characteristic, column 5 lines 43-68, wherein silk screening as well known

deposits ink, said nonlinear characteristic being inherent to the silk screen deposited nonlinear

element. As in claim 24 Kazan teaches wherein the electrodes comprise a print deposited conductive

ink, column 5 lines 43-68, wherein said silk screening deposition method of the electrodes, inherently

includes conductive ink by virtue of electrode function. As in claim 28 Kazan teaches wherein each

set of electrodes is arranged in lanes with spaces therebetween, and further comprising an insulating

material located in the spaces, figure 4 item 15, 17, and 30A, column 5 lines 43-68. As in claims 33

and 34 Kazan teaches of silicon films and polymer conductors, column 4 lines 54-68, column 5 lines

1-25.

5. As in claims 30-32, Kazan in view of Pearlman et al. teaches of the invention as applied to claim 1

above. However Kazan does not detail a variety of well known nonlinear elements. However it

Examiner: David L. Lewis

Page 5

Serial Number: 08/820,057

Art Unit: 2778

Applicant: Jacobson et al.

Title: Printable Electronic Display

would be obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention that nonlinear elements include

diodes and varistors in general.

6. As in claims 14-23 and 25 Kazan in view of Pearlman et al. teaches of the invention as applied to

claim 1 above. Further Kazan teaches of the nonlinear resistor elements are composed of

semiconducting or conducting powder particles bonded together with an insulating or semiconducting

binder, column 3 lines 5-11, which are fabricated by silk screening or other thick film deposition

methods, column 5 lines 43-68. However Kazan does not detail the variety of well known ink

constitutes. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to utilize

an ink comprising well known binder and particle constituents for the purposes of silk screen

fabricating the nonlinear elements to be used in the silk screening deposition method because particles

bonded together with a binder are suggested by Kazan for use in a Liquid Crystal Display. It would

further be obvious to utilize various particles and binder constituents well known in the art, as found

in claims 14-23 and 25.

7. As in claim 9, Pearlman et al. teaches of containers (capsules) of varying sizes, column 4 lines 3-10,

column 16 lines 44-50, as well known in the art. As in claim 12, Pearlman et al. teaches wherein the

electrophoretic display is printable, column 10 lines 22-32. As in claim 26, Kazan teaches wherein

the ink is transparent, which is inherent to said electrodes being transparent. As in claim 27,

Examiner: David L. Lewis November 18, 1999

Art Unit: 2778

Applicant: Jacobson et al.

Title: Printable Electronic Display

Pearlman et al. teaches of indium tin oxide, column 9 lines 35-41. As in claim 29 Kazan teaches of

an insulated material, figure 4 item 30A.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to

particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

invention. If the trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular

material, the claim does not comply with the requirements of the 35. U.S.C. 112, second

paragraph. Ex parte Simpson, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. (5543177, 5982346).

Examiner: David L. Lewis

Page 7

Serial Number: 08/820,057

Art Unit: 2778

Applicant: Jacobson et al.

Title: Printable Electronic Display

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to David L. Lewis whose telephone number is (703) 306-3026. The examiner can

normally be reached on MT and THF from 8 to 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone

are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached on (703) 305-4938.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Or hand-delivered to:

Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700

Examiner: David L. Lewis November 18, 1999